

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/015,693

Applicant(s)

SCHLECHT et al.

Examiner

HARRY GUTMAN

Art Unit

3612

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HARRY GUTMAN

(3) _____

(2) JUNG Kim (# 51299)

(4) _____

Date of Interview 12/23/03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Ojima et al. of record

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant argued that the Ojima et al. reference failed to show the components pre-assembled prior to attachment to the vehicle body. In addition applicant stated that the means plus function language in the claims was clearly disclosed in the specification. Also the antecedent basis problem on line 11 of claim 1 can be overcome with the phrase "the window shade web". The examiner stated that further consideration of the rejection is needed. A proposed amendment to claim 1 was discussed which adds the (of Ojima et al.) limitation of "prior to being attached to the vehicle body. This may overcome Ojima but requires further consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

HARRY GUTMAN
3612

12/23/03

Examiner's signature, if required